

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

09/967,109

09/28/2001

Trevor Vernon Smith

3120.00026

8086

7590

08/02/2004

EXAMINER

LEGESSE, NINI F

Kohn & Associates

Suite 410

30500 Northwestern Hwy.

Farmington Hills, MI 48334

ART UNIT 3711

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 9 |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/967,109 | SMITH, TREVOR \ | ERNON |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Nini F. Legesse | 3711 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the co | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The apporture or the final the fin | on. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | |
| 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-28. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>17 June 2004</u> is a)[| ☐ approved or b)⊠ disapprov | ed by the Examine | τ. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | |
| 10. Other: | GRE SUPERVISO | GORN VIDOVICH RY PATENT EXAMINI LOGY CENTER 3700 | ER |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The expression "fixedly adjustable" in claims 1 line 5 and the expression "fixedly adjusting" in claim 21 line 8 raise the issue of new matter because it is not disclosed in the specification. It is also noted that the new added element 46 in Fig. 4 and in the amended specification raises new issue too.